## **Evaluation & Response Strategies**

## I. Introduction

- (1) Purpose
  - 1. Define scoping strategies for potentially induced seismicity evaluations
    - a. Utilize "tools" described in sections 4, 5, 6 & 7
    - b. Evaluation strategies when siteing new Class II wells
    - c. Evaluation strategies when a Class II well is suspected of causing induced seismicity
  - 2. Define response strategies for potentially induced seismicity
    - a. Response strategies when siteing new Class II wells
    - b. Response strategies when a Class II well is suspected of causing induced seismicity
- II. Evaluation Strategies
  - (1) Introduction
    - 1. Because of technical considerations regarding the geomechanical mechanisms for inducing seismicity, each evaluation should be event (site) specific
      - a. Geology/stratigraphy
      - b. Hydrogeologic characteristics
      - c. Insitu stress properties
      - d. Fault location and orientation
      - e. Well operational characteristics
    - 2. Each evaluation should be fit for purpose
      - a. Evaluation for siteing a new well
      - b. Evaluation for a well suspected of causing induced seismicity
  - (2) Data to inform evaluation
    - 1. Seismicity
      - a. Historic/recent
      - b. Epicenter location and magnitude
        - (1) Regional seismicity will have larger the error (horizontal & vertical) due to density of seismometers...see section 6
        - (2) Location specific data to identify location of fault requires local array and extended monitoring...see section 6
        - (3) Lower magnitude determinations requires local array...see section 6
        - (4) Variability in private array data versus public array will require calibration of the velocity model
      - c. Ground motion vs magnitude
    - 2. Injection well operational parameters
      - a. Injection volume and water quality
        - (1) Daily total
        - (2) Injectate specific gravity

- b. Cumulative volume with time for use in reservoir evaluations
- c. Injection pressure
  - (1) Daily maximum pressure
    - (a) Note: injection pressure increase may be caused by near bore phenomenon and not a result of an increase in formation pressure capable of perturbation away from well towards fault
      - i. Scaling
      - ii. Formation plugging
- 3. Geology
  - a. General stratigraphy
  - b. Depth of basement rock
  - c. Presence of sealing layers
  - d. Target injection formation hydrogeologic parameters
- 4. Fault data
  - a. Location
  - b. Orientation
    - Will require open bore logging using proper tools
  - c. Stress conditions
    - In-stress data not available unless there is a core for laboratory testing or data collected from a differential fracture injection test or full hydraulic fracturing is available
- (3) Area demographics
  - a. Location of infrastructure and public/private structures
  - b. Location of reservoirs and dams
  - c. Assessment of current infrastructure conditions
- (4) Data Evaluation
  - 1. Reservoir...see section 4
    - a. Defines reservoir pressure changes
  - 2. Geomechanical/hydrological modeling...see section 4
    - a. Defines pore pressure perturbation
  - 3. Ground Motion Modeling...see section 5
    - a. Defines potential impact within study area
- **III.** Response Strategies
  - (1) Response Strategy Overview
    - 1. All responses are reaction to something: "If This...Than That"
    - 2. General forms of a response strategy used to administer the "If this...than this" strategy
      - a. Decision Tree
        - (1) EPA document
        - (2) AXPC document
      - b. Traffic Light System...
      - c. Areas of Interest
        - (1) Oklahoma
        - (2) Kansas

- d. EGS protocol
- 3. Response strategy should included a stated goal such as:
  - a. Mitigation of risk that an induced seismic event could happen
  - b. Mitigation of risk from a detected event
  - c. Mitigation of risk from a felt event
  - d. Mitigation of risk from a damaging event
- 4. Response strategy should be fit for purpose
  - a. Demographics
- 5. Two general categories of response strategy
  - Response Strategy for siteing a new well
  - Response Strategy for a well suspected of induced seismicity
- (2) "If This...Than That"
  - 1. If this...
    - a. ...permit application for new well
    - b. ...event happens
      - (1) Epicenter occurs within a specified distance of injection well
      - (2) Specified ground motion / magnitude occurs within a specified distance of injection well
    - c. ...events happen (area of concern)
      - (1) A cluster of a specified number of events with a specified ground motion / magnitude occurs within a specified distance of injection well
    - d. ...evaluation determines this
      - (1) Well is located within a specified distance of a critically stressed fault
      - (2) Increase in flow/pressure results in an increase in seismicity
      - (3) Seismic event is a potentially induced event
      - (4) Seismic event is not a potentially induced event
  - 2. Than that...
    - a. ...conduct evaluation
    - b. ...different location (new well siteing)
    - c. ...permit condition(s)
      - (1) Step increases in flow during start up
      - (2) If a specified ground motion / magnitude event occurs within a specified distance...reduce flow
    - d. ...operational restriction
      - (1) Reduced injection flow
      - (2) Reduced injection pressure
    - e. ...shut-in well
    - f. ...continue/return to normal operations